arXiv:1611.03296v1 [math.AP] 10 Nov 2016

GROUND STATE SOLUTIONS FOR A FRACTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH CRITICAL GROWTH

VINCENZO AMBROSIO AND GIOVANY M. FIGUEIREDO

Abstract. In this paper we investigate the existence of nontrivial ground state solutions for the following fractional scalar field equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $s \in (0,1)$, $N > 2s$, $(-\Delta)^s$ is the fractional Laplacian, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded potential satisfying suitable assumptions, and $f \in C^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ has critical growth. We first analyze the case V constant, and then we develop a Jeanjean-Tanaka argument [25] to deal with the non autonomous case. As far as we know, all results presented here are new.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to the existence of nontrivial solutions for the following fractional scalar field equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.1}$$

with $s \in (0,1)$, $N > 2s$, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function verifying some suitable growth conditions. The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ is a pseudo-differential operator defined via Fourier transform by

$$\mathcal{F}(-\Delta)^s u(k) = |k|^{2s}\mathcal{F}u(k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{R}^N),$$

when $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the Schwarz class. Also, $(-\Delta)^s u$ can be equivalently represented as

$$(-\Delta)^s u(z) = -\frac{C_{N,s}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x+y) - u(z-y) - 2u(z)}{|y|^{N+2s}}dy \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}^N)$$

where $C_{N,s}$ is a dimensional constant depending only on N and s, whose value can be found in [17]. The main motivation of the study of (1.1), comes from looking for standing waves $\psi(x,t) = u(x)e^{-\imath ct}$ for the fractional Schrödinger equation

$$\imath\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = (-\Delta)^s \psi + V(x)\psi - g(x,|\psi|) \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Such equation has been introduced by Laskin [26, 27], as a result of expanding the Feynman path integral, from the Brownian like to the Lévy like quantum mechanical paths.

When $s = 1$ in (1.1), we derive the classical nonlinear Schrödinger equation which has been extensively studied in the last twenty years by many authors. Since we cannot review the huge bibliography here, we just mention the works [3, 4, 9, 16, 22, 31, 39, 40] and references therein, where several results on the existence and the multiplicity of solutions are obtained under different assumptions on the potential V and the nonlinearity f.

In these last years, problems involving fractional operators are receiving a lot of attention. Indeed fractional spaces and nonlocal equations plays a fundamental role in the investigation of many several sciences such as crystal dislocation, obstacle problem, optimization, finance, phase transition, soft thin films, multiple scattering, quasi-geostrophic flows, water waves, anomalous diffusion, conformal

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35J60, 35R11, 45G05.

Key words and phrases. Fractional Laplacian; monotonicity trick; critical exponent; compactness Lemma.

geometry and minimal surfaces and so on. The interested reader may consult [17] and [30], where a more extensive bibliography and an introduction to the subject are given.
In what follows, we recall some known results established in recent years, concerning with the fractional Laplacian equations with critical growth.

Servadei and Valdinoci [34] established a Brezis-Nirenberg type result for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - \lambda u = |u|^{2_s^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases},$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth bounded domain and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. Barrios at al. [8] studied the effect of lower order perturbations in the existence of positive solutions to the following critical elliptic problem involving the spectral Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - \lambda u^q = u^{2_s^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases},$$

where $q \in (0, 2_s^* - 1)$ and $\lambda > 0$; see also [11, 37] for related results. Fiscella and Valdinoci [21] dealt with the existence and the asymptotic behavior of non-negative solutions for a class of stationary Kirchhoff problems driven by the fractional Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} -M\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\right)(-\Delta)^s u - \lambda u = \lambda f(x,u) + |u|^{2_s^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases},$$

where M is a Kirchhoff function and f satisfies the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition.
By using variational methods, Shang and Zhang [35] studied the existence and the multiplicity of nonnegative solutions for

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = |u|^{2_s^*-2}u + \lambda f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

where $\varepsilon, \lambda > 0$, V is a positive continuous function and f has a subcritical growth.
Teng and He [38] combined the s-harmonic extension method of Caffarelli and Silvestre [12], the concentration-compactness principle of Lions and methods of Brezis and Nirenberg to prove the existence of ground state solutions for

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = P(x)|u|^{p-2}u + Q(x)|u|^{2_s^*-2}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $p \in (2, 2_s^*)$ and $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are continuous functions satisfying suitable assumptions.
He and Zou [23] obtained, via penalization technique and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory, the existence and concentration results for the problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(u) + u^{2_s^*-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases},$$

under local condition imposed on V, and f is a subcritical nonlinearity.
Further results concerning the fractional Schrödinger equations involving critical and subcritical nonlinearities can be found in [1, 5, 6, 7, 15, 18, 19, 20, 29, 32, 36].

Inspired by the above works, in the present paper we aim to investigate the existence of least energy solutions for the equation (1.1), when f has a critical growth and V is bounded but not necessarily a constant.
More precisely, we assume that $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ verifies the following hypotheses:
$(f1)$ $f \in C^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ for some $\beta > \max\{0, 1-2s\}$;
$(f2)$ $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$;
$(f3)$ $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^{2_s^*-1}} = K > 0$, where $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$;

$(f4)$ There exist $D>0$ and $\max\left\{2,\frac{4s}{N-2s}\right\}<q<2_s^*$ such that

$$f(t)\geq Kt^{2_s^*-1}+Dt^{q-1} \text{ for all } t\geq 0;$$

$(f5)$ There exists $C>0$ such that $|f'(t)|\leq C(1+|t|^{2_s^*-2})$ for all $t\geq 0$.

We observe that the assumptions $(f3)$ and $(f4)$ on the nonlinearity f enable us to consider the critical growth case. In the case $s=1$, the assumption $(f4)$ was introduced in [41] to study a Berestycki-Lions type problem with critical growth. We point out that $(f4)$ plays an important role to ensure the existence of solutions for the problem (1.1). In fact, if we take $f(t)=(t^+)^{2_s^*-1}$, then f satisfies $(f1)$-$(f3)$, and by using the Pohozaev identity [13], we can see that there are no nontrivial solutions to (1.1).

Concerning the potential $V:\mathbb{R}^N\to\mathbb{R}$ we suppose that

$(V1)$ $V\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R})$;

$(V2)$ there exists $V_0>0$ such that $\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)\geq V_0$;

$(V3)$ $V(x)\leq V_\infty:=\lim_{|x|\to\infty}V(x)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$;

$(V4)$ $x\cdot\nabla V(x)\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $x\cdot\nabla V(x)\leq 0$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and

$$\sigma:=\inf_{u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus\{0\}}\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2+V(x)u^2\,dx}{|u|^2_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}>0.$$

Now we state our first main result concerning the existence of ground state solutions to (1.1) in the case of constant potentials.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s\in(0,1)$ and $N>2s$. Assume that f verifies $(f1)$-$(f4)$ and $V(x)\equiv V$ is constant. Then (1.1) possesses a nontrivial ground state solution $u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Now, we give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.1. We recall that for a weak solution of problem (1.1), we mean a function $u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))\,dxdy+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)u\varphi\,dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}f(u)\varphi\,dx$$

for any $\varphi\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Here $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the fractional Sobolev space defined by

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)=\left\{u\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N):\frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N})\right\}.$$

In order to obtain the existence of a nontrivial solution to (1.1), we look for critical points of the Euler-Lagrange functional associated to (1.1), that is

$$\mathcal{I}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2+Vu^2\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F(u)\,dx$$

for any $u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By using the assumptions on f, it is clear that $\mathcal{I}$ has a mountain pass geometry, but it is hard to verify the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequences of $\mathcal{I}$ (such Palais-Smale sequences there exist in view of the Ekeland's principle). To overcome this difficulty, we use the idea in [24]. For $\lambda\in[\frac{1}{2},1]$, let us introduce the following family of functionals

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2+Vu^2\,dx-\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F(u)\,dx. \tag{1.2}$$

As first step, we prove that for any $\lambda\in[\frac{1}{2},1]$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ has a mountain pass geometry and that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ admits a bounded Palais-Smale sequence (u_n) at the mountain-pass level c_λ. More precisely, we use the following abstract result due to Jeanjean [24]:

Theorem 1.2. [24] *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $J \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be an interval. Let $(\mathcal{I}_\lambda)_{\lambda\in J}$ be a family of C^1 functionals on X of the form*

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u) = A(u) - \lambda B(u), \qquad \text{for } \lambda \in J,$$

where $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in X$, and either $A(u) \to \infty$ or $B(u) \to \infty$ as $\|u\| \to \infty$.
We assume that there exist $v_1, v_2 \in X$ such that

$$c_\lambda = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(\gamma(t)) > \max\{\mathcal{I}_\lambda(v_1), \mathcal{I}_\lambda(v_2)\}, \quad \forall \lambda \in J$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = v_1, \gamma(1) = v_2\}.$$

Then, for almost every $\lambda \in J$, there is a sequence $(v_n) \subset X$ such that
(i) (v_n) is bounded;
(ii) $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(v_n) \to c_\lambda$;
(iii) $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(v_n) \to 0$ on X^{-1}.
Moreover, the map $\lambda \mapsto c_\lambda$ is continuous from the left hand-side.

Since we are dealing with the critical case, we are able to prove that for any $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$

$$0 < c_\lambda < \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}},$$

where S_* is the best constant in the Sobolev embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$; see [14].
Secondly, in the spirit of [25] (see also [28, 42]), we establish a global compactness result in the critical case, which gives a description of the bounded Palais-Smale sequences of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$. Then, by using the facts that every solution of (1.1) satisfies the Pohozaev Identity and the compactness Lemma, we prove the existence of a bounded Palais-Smale sequence of $\mathcal{I}$ which converges to a positive solution to (1.1).

Now, we state our second main result of this paper, which deals with the existence of ground state of (1.1) in the case V not constant.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $s \in (0,1)$ and $N > 2s$. Assume that f verifies $(f1)$-$(f5)$ and V satisfies $(V1)$-$(V4)$. Then (1.1) admits a nontrivial ground state solution $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

To deal with the non-autonomous case, we resemble some ideas developed in [25]. We consider the family of functionals $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ defined in (1.2), and, since $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, we can deduce the existence of a Palais-Smale sequence (u_n^j) at the mountain-pass level c_{λ_j}, where $\lambda_j \to 1$. Therefore, $u_n^j \rightharpoonup u_j$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ where (u_j) is a critical point of $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda_j}$. This time, the boundedness of the sequence (u_j) follows by the assumption $(V4)$. Moreover, we prove that (u_j) is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence of $\mathcal{I}$. To show that the bounded sequence (u_j) converges to a nontrivial weak solution of (1.1), we show that c_1 is strictly less than the least energy level m^∞ of the functional $\mathcal{I}^\infty$ associated to the "problem at infinity"

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V_\infty u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This together with an accurate description of the sequence as a sum of translated critical points, it allows us to infer that $u_j \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for some nontrivial critical point u of $\mathcal{I}$.
Let us point out that when f is an odd function satisfying $(f1)$-$(f4)$, and V is constant, the existence of a radial positive ground state to (1.1) has been proved in [2, 7] via a minimization argument and by working in the space of radial functions $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which is compactly embedded into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in (2, 2_s^*)$. Here, we present a different proof of this result (see Theorem 1.1) which is based on the global compactness lemma, which will be also useful to prove Theorem 1.3. In fact, we think that the global compactness lemma is not only interesting for the aim of this paper, but it can be also used to deal with other problems similar to (1.1).

The plan of the paper is the following: In section 2 we collect some technical results which will be useful along the paper. In section 3 we use the monotonicity trick to prove Theorem 1.1. In section 4 we give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2. Preliminaries and functional setting

In this section we give a few results that we are later going to use for the proofs of the main results. For any $s \in (0,1)$ we define $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to

$$[u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dx\,dy = |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

that is

$$\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Now, let us introduce the fractional Sobolev space

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N}) \right\}$$

endowed with the natural norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sqrt{[u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + |u|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}}.$$

For the convenience of the reader we recall from [17] the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $s \in (0,1)$ and $N > 2s$. Then there exists a sharp constant $S_* = S(N,s) > 0$, whose exact value can be found in [14], such that for any $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$*

$$|u|^2_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le S_* [u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2_s^*]$ and compactly in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2_s^*)$.

Remark 2.1. *The exact value of the best constant S_* appearing in (2.1), has been calculated explicitly in [14]. Moreover, the authors proved that the equality in (2.1) holds if and only if*

$$u(x) = c(\mu^2 + (x-x_0)^2)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are fixed constants.

Now, we prove some technical lemmas. The first one, is a compactness Lions-type lemma. The proof of this result is proved in [19], however, for the sake of readers, we show it here.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $N > 2s$ and $r \in [2, 2_s^*)$. If (u_n) is a bounded sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and if*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^r dx = 0$$

where $R > 0$, then $u_n \to 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $t \in (2, 2_s^*)$.

Proof. Let $r < \tau < 2_s^*$ and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, Hölder and Sobolev inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{L^\tau(B_R(y))} &\le |u|^{1-\alpha}_{L^r(B_R(y))} |u|^\alpha_{L^{2_s^*}(B_R(y))} \\ &\le c|u|^{1-\alpha}_{L^r(B_R(y))} \left(\int_{B_R(y)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\tau - r}{2_s^* - r}\frac{2_s^*}{\tau}$. Choosing $\alpha = \frac{2}{\tau}$, we obtain

$$|u|^{\tau}_{L^{\tau}(B_R(y))} \leq c^{\tau}|u|^{(1-\alpha)\tau}_{L^{r}(B_R(y))}\left(\int_{B_R(y)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\right)$$

Now, covering $\mathbb{R}^N$ by balls of radius R, in such a way that each point of $\mathbb{R}^N$ is contained in at most $N+1$ balls, we find

$$|u|^{\tau}_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq (N+1)c^{\tau}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N}\left[\int_{B_R(y)}|u|^r\,dx\right]^{\frac{(1-\alpha)\tau}{r}}[u]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Taking into account the assumption of Lemma, we obtain that $u_n \to 0$ in $L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By using an interpolation argument, we obtain the thesis.

□

Next, we give the proof of the following useful result:

Lemma 2.2. *If $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then*

$$|u_n|^{2_s^*-2}u_n - |u_n-u|^{2_s^*-2}(u_n-u) \to |u|^{2_s^*-2}u \quad \text{in } (\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N))'.$$

Proof. Let $\Psi(u) = |u|^{2_s^*-2}u$ and take $w \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the mean value theorem, we can see that

$$|\Psi(u_n) - \Psi(u_n-u)| \leq (2_s^*-1)[|u_n| + |u|]^{2_s^*-2}|u| \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then, the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding yield

$$\left|\int_{|x|>R}[\Psi(u_n)-\Psi(u_n-u)]w\,dx\right| \leq C_1[|u_n|^{2_s^*-2}_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + |u|^{2_s^*-2}_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}]|w|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\left(\int_{|x|>R}|u|^{2_s^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*}}$$

and

$$\left|\int_{|x|>R}\Psi(u)w\,dx\right| \leq |w|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\left(\int_{|x|>R}|u|^{2_s^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{2_s^*-1}{2_s^*}} \leq C[w]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}\left(\int_{|x|>R}|u|^{2_s^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{2_s^*-1}{2_s^*}}.$$

As a consequence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\left|\int_{|x|>R}[\Psi(u_n)-\Psi(u_n-u)-\Psi(u)]w\,dx\right| \leq \varepsilon[w]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let us define $M = \sup_{B_R}|u|$, so that on B_R we get

$$|\Psi(u_n)-\Psi(u_n-u)| \leq (2_s^*-1)[|u_n|+M]^{2_s^*-2}M. \tag{2.3}$$

Now, fix $\beta \geq 1$ such that

$$\beta \in \left[\max\left\{1, \frac{2N}{N+2s}, \frac{N-2s}{4s}\right\}, \frac{N}{2s}\right).$$

and we set $\alpha := (2_s^*-2)\beta = \frac{4s}{N-2s}\beta$. Then, (2.4) becomes

$$|\Psi(u_n)-\Psi(u_n-u)| \leq (2_s^*-1)[|u_n|+M]^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}M. \tag{2.4}$$

We note that

$$\alpha \geq 1 \text{ since } \beta \geq \frac{N-2s}{4s},$$

and

$$\alpha < 2_s^* \text{ since } \beta < \frac{N}{2s}.$$

Thus, it follows from the compact embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^\alpha_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the properties of Nemytskii operators that

$$\Psi(u_n) - \Psi(u_n - u) \to \Psi(u) \text{ in } L^\beta(B_R).$$

Hence, by using the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{B_R} [\Psi(u_n) - \Psi(u_n - u) - \Psi(u)]w\,dx\right| &\le \left(\int_{B_R} |w|^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} \left(\int_{B_R} |\Psi(u_n) - \Psi(u_n - u) - \Psi(u)|^\beta\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\le C[w]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\int_{B_R} |\Psi(u_n) - \Psi(u_n - u) - \Psi(u)|^\beta\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact that $\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \le 2^*_s$ because of $\beta \ge \frac{2N}{N+2s}$.
Putting together (2.2) and (2.5) we obtain the assert. □

Finally we recall the following well-known results:

Lemma 2.3. [9] *Let P and $Q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous functions satisfying*

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)} = 0,$$

$\{v_j\}_j$, v and w be measurable functions from $\mathbb{R}^N$ to $\mathbb{R}$, with w bounded, such that

$$\sup_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |Q(v_j(x))w|\,dx < +\infty,$$

$$P(v_j(x)) \to v(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then $\|(P(v_j) - v)w\|_{L^1(\mathcal{B})} \to 0$, for any bounded Borel set $\mathcal{B}$.
Moreover, if we have also

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} |v_j(x)| = 0,$$

then $\|(P(v_j) - v)w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0$.

Lemma 2.4. [10] *Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and let $(u_n) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $1 \le p < \infty$. If*
(i) (u_n) is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$,
(ii) $u_n \to u$ almost everywhere in Ω,
then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (|u_n|^p_{L^p(\Omega)} - |u_n - u|^p_{L^p(\Omega)}) = |u|^p_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Remark 2.2. *In order to simplify the notation in what follows, with $|\cdot|_q$ we will always denote the norm of $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

3. Ground state solution when the potential V is constant

In this section we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since we look for positive solution of (1.1), we can suppose that $f(t) = 0$ for $t \le 0$. For simplicity, we also take $K = 1$ in $(f3)$.
Let $H = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 dx < \infty\}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u(x)|^2 + V(x)u^2(x)\,dx.$$

By using the assumptions $(V2)$ and $(V3)$, it is easy to prove that $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to the standard norm in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In order to study weak solutions to (1.1), we look for critical points of the following functional

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx.$$

For $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, we consider the family of functionals

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx$$

defined for all $u \in H$.

By Theorem 2.1 and assumptions on f, it is clear that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ is well defined, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda \in C^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ and that its differential is given by

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))\,dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u\varphi\,dx = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)\varphi\,dx$$

for any $u, \varphi \in H$.

Now, we prove that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Under the assumptions $(V1)$-$(V2)$ and $(f1)$-$(f4)$, the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds. Moreover, if $V(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then*

$$0 < c_\lambda < \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Set $X = H$, $J = [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, $A(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2$, $B(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)\,dx$. Clearly, $A(u) \to \infty$ as $\|u\| \to \infty$, and by the assumption $(f4)$, it follows that $B(u) \geq 0$ for any $u \in H$. Now, by using $(f1)$-$(f3)$, we know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|F(u)| \leq \varepsilon t^2 + C_\varepsilon |t|^{2_2^*} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, by using Sobolev embedding, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda[\varepsilon|u|_2^2 + C_\varepsilon|u|_{2_2^*}^{2_2^*}] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - C_*[\varepsilon\|u\|^2 + C_\varepsilon\|u\|^{2_2^*}]\end{aligned}$$

so there exists $\alpha > 0$ and $r > 0$ independent of λ, such that

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha > 0 \text{ for any } \|u\| = r.$$

By using $(f4)$, we can note that

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{22_s^*}|u^+|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - \frac{D}{2q}|u^+|_q^q \tag{3.2}$$

so, taking $\varphi \in H$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi \neq 0$, we can see that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(tu) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Hence, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\|t_0\varphi\| > r$ and $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(t_0\varphi) < 0$ for all $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Since $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(0) = 0$, we set $v_1 = 0$ and $v_2 = t_0\varphi$. Therefore, I_λ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.2.

Finally, we prove the estimate in (3.1). Fix $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 1$ on B_r and $\eta = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2r}$, where B_r denotes the ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of center at origin and radius r. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let us define $u_\varepsilon(x) = \eta(x)U_\varepsilon(x)$, where

$$U_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\kappa\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}$$

is a solution to

$$(-\Delta)^s u = S_*|u|^{2_s^*-2}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

and κ is a suitable positive constant depending only on N and s.
Now we set

$$v_\varepsilon = \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|_{2_s^*}}.$$

By performing similar calculations to those in [34] (see Proposition 21 and 22), we can see that

$$[v_\varepsilon]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_* + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}), \tag{3.3}$$

$$|v_\varepsilon|_2^2 = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^{2s}) & \text{if } N > 4s \\ O(\varepsilon^{2s}|\log(\varepsilon)|) & \text{if } N = 4s \\ O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) & \text{if } N < 4s \end{cases}, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$|v_\varepsilon|_q^q = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-(N-2s)q}{2}}) & \text{if } q > \frac{N}{N-2s} \\ O(\varepsilon|\log(\varepsilon)|) & \text{if } q = \frac{N}{N-2s} \\ O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)q}{2}}) & \text{if } q < \frac{N}{N-2s}. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

By using the definition of c_λ, we know that

$$0 < c_\lambda \leq \sup_{t\geq 0} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon). \tag{3.6}$$

By using $(f4)$ and $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, we also deduce that for any $t \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{t^2}{2}\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}t^{2_s^*} - t^q\frac{D}{2q}|v_\varepsilon|_q^q. \tag{3.7}$$

Now, let us define the following functions for $t \geq 0$

$$h(t) = \frac{t^2}{2}\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}t^{2_s^*} - t^q\frac{D}{2q}|v_\varepsilon|_q^q$$

and

$$k(t) = \frac{t^2}{2}\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 - \frac{t^{2_s^*}}{2_s^*}\lambda.$$

In view of (3.7), we can note that

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) \leq h(t) \text{ for all } t \geq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

From this estimate we deduce that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$, so there exists $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) := \sup_{t\geq 0} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) > 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Moreover t_ε satisfies

$$t_\varepsilon\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(tv_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon\,dx = 0$$

and by using $(f4)$, we get

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 \geq \lambda t_\varepsilon^{2_s^*-2} + t_\varepsilon^{q-2}\frac{D}{2}|v_\varepsilon|_q^q.$$

Then, we obtain the following lower bound for t_ε independent of ε

$$t_\varepsilon < (\lambda^{-1}\|v_\varepsilon\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*-2}}.$$

On the other hand, we can prove that $t_\varepsilon \geq \bar{t} > 0$ for some $\bar{t} > 0$ independent of ε. In fact, if there exists a sequence $t_{\varepsilon_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, in view of (3.3)-(3.5), (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9), we can infer that

$$0 < c_\lambda \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(t_{\varepsilon_n}v_{\varepsilon_n}) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} h(t_{\varepsilon_n}) = 0$$

which gives a contradiction.
Now, we observe that the function $k(t)$ attains its maximum at $t = (\lambda^{-1}\|v_\varepsilon\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*-2}}$ and that it is

increasing in $[0,(\lambda^{-1}\|v_\varepsilon\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*-2}}]$. This, together with (3.3) and the fact that t_ε can be estimated from below independently of ε, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(t_\varepsilon) &= k(t_\varepsilon) - \frac{D}{2q}t_\varepsilon^q|v_\varepsilon|_q^q \\
&\le k((\lambda^{-1}\|v_\varepsilon\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*-2}}) - \frac{D}{2q}t_\varepsilon^q|v_\varepsilon|_q^q \\
&\le \frac{s}{N}\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}\left([v_\varepsilon]^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)v_\varepsilon^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}} - C_0|v_\varepsilon|_q^q \\
&\le \frac{s}{N}\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}\left(S_* + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)v_\varepsilon^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}} - C_0|v_\varepsilon|_q^q.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the elementary inequality $(a+b)^p \le a^p + p(a+b)^{p-1}b$ for all $a,b>0$ and $p\ge 1$, and $\lambda\in[\frac{1}{2},1]$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
h(t_\varepsilon) &\le \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) + C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|v_\varepsilon|^2\,dx - C_0|v_\varepsilon|_q^q \\
&\le \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) + C_2|v_\varepsilon|_2^2 - C_0|v_\varepsilon|_q^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we distinguish the following cases:
If $N>4s$, then $q\in(2,2_s^*)$ and in particular $q>\frac{N}{N-2s}$. Hence, by using (3.4) and (3.5), we can see that

$$\sup_{t>0} h(t) \le \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) + O(\varepsilon^{2s}) - O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-(N-2s)q}{2}}).$$

Taking into account $\frac{2N-(N-2s)q}{2} < 2s < N-2s$, (3.6) and (3.8), we get (3.1) for ε small enough.
When $N=4s$, then $q\in(2,4)$ and in particular $q>\frac{N}{N-2s}=2$, so from (3.4) and (3.5) we deduce that

$$\sup_{t>0} h(t) \le \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} + O(\varepsilon^{2s}) + O(\varepsilon^{2s}|\log(\varepsilon)|) - O(\varepsilon^{4s-sq})$$

which implies (3.1) because of $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{\varepsilon^{4s-sq}}{\varepsilon^{2s}(1+|\log(\varepsilon)|)}=\infty$.
Finally, if $N<4s$, then $q\in(\frac{4s}{N-2s},2_s^*)$ and in particular $q>\frac{N}{N-2s}$. Then we have

$$\sup_{t>0} h(t) \le \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) - O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-(N-2s)q}{2}})$$

and we obtain the conclusion since $\frac{2N-(N-2s)q}{2} < N-2s$.

□

Remark 3.1. *We note that for $\lambda\in[\frac{1}{2},1]$, if $u\in H$ is such that $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u)=0$, then $u\ge 0$. In fact, being $\langle\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u),u^-\rangle=0$, where $u^-=\min\{u,0\}$, and $f(t)=0$ for $t\le 0$, we can see that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(-\Delta)^s u\cdot u^-\,dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)(u^-)^2\,dx \le 0.$$

On the other hand we know that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(-\Delta)^s u\cdot u^-\,dx &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{(u(x)-u(y))(u^-(x)-u^-(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\ &\geq \iint_{\{u(x)>0\}\times\{u(y)<0\}}\frac{(u(x)-u(y))(u^-(x)-u^-(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\ &+\iint_{\{u(x)<0\}\times\{u(y)<0\}}\frac{|u^-(x)-u^-(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\ &+\iint_{\{u(x)<0\}\times\{u(y)>0\}}\frac{(u(x)-u(y))u^-(x)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we deduce that $u^-=0$, that is $u\geq 0$.

Proceeding as in [6, 13, 33] and by using the assumption $(f1)$, we can prove the following Pohozaev identity:

Lemma 3.2. *For $\lambda\in[\frac{1}{2},1]$, if u_λ is a critical point of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$, then u_λ satisfies the following Pohozaev identity*

$$\frac{N-2s}{2}[u_\lambda]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\nabla V(x)\cdot xu_\lambda^2dx=N\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left[\lambda F(u)-\frac{1}{2}V(x)u_\lambda^2\right]dx. \tag{3.10}$$

Remark 3.2. *It is easy to check that if $(V1)$-$(V2)$ and $(f1)$-$(f2)$ hold, then there exists $\beta>0$ independent of $\lambda\in[\frac{1}{2},1]$ such that any nontrivial critical point u_λ of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ verifies $\|u_\lambda\|\geq\beta>0$. In fact, by using $(f1)$-$(f2)$, we can see that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon>0$ such that*

$$|F(t)|\leq\varepsilon t^2+C_\varepsilon|t|^{2_s^*}\ \text{for all } t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Taking into account $\langle\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda),u_\lambda\rangle=0$, $\lambda\leq 1$, $F\geq 0$, the Sobolev embedding, $(V1)$-$(V2)$, we have

$$\|u_\lambda\|^2=\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F(u_\lambda)\,dx\leq\varepsilon C_1\|u_\lambda\|^2+C_\varepsilon C_2\|u_\lambda\|^{2_s^*}$$

where $C_1,C_2>0$ depending only on V_0 and the best constant S_*. Choosing $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small and by using $u_\lambda\neq 0$, we deduce that there exists $\beta>0$ such that $\|u_\lambda\|\geq\beta>0$.

Now, we establish the following compactness lemma which will be useful to prove Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that $V(x)\equiv V$ and f satisfies $(f1)$-$(f4)$. For $\lambda\in[\frac{1}{2},1]$, let $(u_n)\subset H$ be a bounded sequence in H such that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n)\to c_\lambda$, $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n)\to 0$. Moreover, $c_\lambda<\frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}$.*
Then there exists a subsequence of (u_n), which we denote again by (u_n), and an integer $k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ and $w_\lambda^j\in H$ for $1\leq j\leq k$ such that
(i) $u_n\to u_\lambda$ in H and $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda)=0$;
(ii) $w_\lambda^j\neq 0$ and $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(w_\lambda^j)=0$ for $1\leq j\leq k$;
(iii) $c_\lambda=\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda)+\sum_{j=1}^k\mathcal{I}_\lambda(w_\lambda^j)$
where we agree that in the case $k=0$, the above holds without w_λ^j.

Proof. We divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1 Extracting a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $u_n\rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ in H with u_λ critical point of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$.

Since (u_n) is bounded in H and H is a reflexive Banach space, up to a subsequence, we can suppose that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ in H and $u_n \to u_\lambda$ in $L^r_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $r \in [1, 2^*_s)$. Then, for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), \varphi\rangle - \langle I'_\lambda(u_\lambda), \varphi =& \langle u_n - u_\lambda, \varphi\rangle - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [g(u_n) - g(u)]\varphi \, dx \\ &- \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2^*_s-2}u_n - |u_\lambda|^{2^*_s-2}u_\lambda)\varphi \, dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $g(t) = f(t) - (t^+)^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}}$. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ in H, we can see that

$$\langle u_n - u_\lambda, \varphi\rangle \to 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Moreover, $\{|u_n|^{2^*_s-2}u_n - |u_\lambda|^{2^*_s-2}u_\lambda\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2^*_s}{2^*_s-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$|u_n|^{2^*_s-2}u_n \to |u_\lambda|^{2^*_s-2}u_\lambda \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

so we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2^*_s-2}u_n - |u_\lambda|^{2^*_s-2}u_\lambda)\varphi \, dx \to 0. \tag{3.13}$$

By using Lemma 2.3, $(f2)$ and $(f3)$ we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [g(u_n) - g(u)]\varphi \, dx \to 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Putting together (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$, we can see that $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$ for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By using the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we deuce that $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$, that is (i) is satisfied. Now, we set $v^1_n = u_n - u_\lambda$.

Step 2 If $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(z)} |v^1_n|^2 dx = 0$, then $u_n \to u_\lambda$ in H and Lemma 3.3 holds with $k = 0$.

From Lemma 2.1, we get

$$v^1_n \to 0 \text{ in } L^t(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall t \in (2, 2^*_s). \tag{3.15}$$

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n), v^1_n\rangle &= \langle u_n, v^1_n\rangle - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)v^1_n \, dx \\ &= \|v^1_n\|^2 + \langle u_\lambda, v^1_n\rangle - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)v^1_n \, dx,\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|v^1_n\|^2 = \langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n), v^1_n\rangle - \langle u_\lambda, v^1_n\rangle + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)v^1_n \, dx.$$

By using $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda), v^1_n\rangle = 0$, $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n), v^1_n\rangle = o(1)$ and the definition of g, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^1_n\|^2 &= \langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n), v^1_n\rangle + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(u_n) - f(u_\lambda))v^1_n dx \\ &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (g(u_n) - g(u_\lambda))v^1_n dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2^*_s-2}u_n - |u_\lambda|^{2^*_s-2}u_\lambda)v^1_n dx + o(1).\end{aligned}$$

Now, by $(f1)$-$(f3)$, we know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|g(t)| \le \varepsilon(|t| + |t|^{2^*_s-1}) + C_\varepsilon |t|^{q-1} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.16}$$

Therefore, taking into account (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$\|v^1_n\|^2 = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2^*_s-2}u_n - |u_\lambda|^{2^*_s-2}u_\lambda)v^1_n \, dx + o(1).$$

Since u_λ is a weak solution to (1.1) and f satisfies $(f1)$-$(f2)$, we can proceed as in [8] to infer that $u_\lambda \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, by using Lemma 2.2, we can see that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u_n|^{2_s^*-2}u_n - |u_\lambda|^{2_s^*-2}u_\lambda - |u_n-u_\lambda|^{2_s^*-2}(u_n-u_\lambda)]\varphi\,dx\right| = o(1)\|\varphi\|, \quad \forall \varphi \in H. \tag{3.17}$$

Taking $\varphi = v_n^1 = u_n - u_\lambda$ in (3.17), we deduce

$$\|v_n^1\|^2 = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n^1|^{2_s^*}\,dx + o(1). \tag{3.18}$$

Let us note that Lemma 2.4 yields

$$\|v_n^1\|^2 = \|u_n\|^2 - \|u_\lambda\|^2 + o(1) \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n^1|^{2_s^*}\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_s^*}\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\lambda|^{2_s^*}\,dx + o(1). \tag{3.20}$$

At this point, we aim to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(v_n^1)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_n)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_\lambda)\,dx + o(1). \tag{3.21}$$

By (3.16) and the mean value theorem, it holds

$$|G(u_n) - G(v_n^1)| \le C[(|v_n^1| + |u_\lambda|) + (|v_n^1| + |u_\lambda|)^{2_s^*-1}]|u_\lambda|.$$

Fixed $R > 0$, by using the Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |G(u_n) - G(v_n^1)|\,dx \\
&\le C\left(\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |v_n^1|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |u_\lambda|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |u_\lambda|^2\,dx \\
&+ C\left(\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |v_n^1|^{2_s^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{2_s^*-1}{2_s^*}}\left(\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |u_\lambda|^{2_s^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*}} + C\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |u_\lambda|^{2_s^*}\,dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand, by $(f1)$-$(f3)$, we get

$$\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |G(u_\lambda)|\,dx \le C\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |u_\lambda|^2\,dx + C\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |u_\lambda|^{2^*}\,dx. \tag{3.23}$$

Combining (3.22) with (3.23), we deduce that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\{|x|\ge R\}} |G(u_n) - G(v_n^1) - G(u_\lambda)|\,dx \le \varepsilon. \tag{3.24}$$

We recall that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{G(t)}{|t|^{2_s^*}} = 0$ by $(f3)$, and $|u_n|_{2_s^*} \le C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, being (u_n) bounded in H. Then, by using Lemma 2.3, we can see that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\{|x|\le R\}} |G(u_n) - G(u_\lambda)|\,dx = 0, \tag{3.25}$$

and, in similar way,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\{|x|\le R\}} |G(v_n^1)|\,dx = 0. \tag{3.26}$$

Hence, (3.24) and (3.26) show that (3.21) is verified. Putting together (3.19)-(3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) &= \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) + o(1) \\ &= \Big[\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_n)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}|u_n|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*}\Big] - \Big[\frac{1}{2}\|u_\lambda\|^2 - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_\lambda)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}|u_\lambda|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*}\Big] + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|v_n^1\|^2 - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(v_n^1)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}|v_n^1|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} + o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

By using (3.15), (3.16) and (3.27) we can infer that

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\|v_n^1\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n^1|^{2_s^*}\,dx + o(1). \tag{3.28}$$

Since $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$, from Lemma 3.2 it follows that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{s}{N}[u_\lambda]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq 0$. Then, in view of (3.28), we get

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) < \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}.$$

Now, we may assume that $\|v_n^1\|^2 \to L \geq 0$. By (3.18), we deduce that $\lambda|v_n^1|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} \to L$.
Let us suppose that $L > 0$. Then, by using the Sobolev embedding we know that

$$|v_n^1|_{2_s^*}^2 S_* \leq \|v_n^1\|^2,$$

so we can deduce that $L \geq \frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}$.
This and (3.28), yield

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{s}{N}L \geq \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}$$

which gives a contradiction. Hence, $\|v_n^1\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Step 3 If there exists $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\int_{B_1(z_n)} |v_n^1|^2\,dx \to d > 0$, then, up to a subsequence, the following conditions hold
(1) $|z_n| \to \infty$;
(2) $u_n(\cdot + z_n) \rightharpoonup w_\lambda \neq 0$ in H;
(3) $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(w_\lambda) = 0$.

We may assume that there exists $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(z_n)} |v_n^1|^2\,dx \geq \frac{d}{2} > 0.$$

Set $\tilde{v}_n^1(x) = v_n^1(x + z_n)$. Then $\tilde{v}_n^1$ is bounded in H and we may suppose that $\tilde{v}_n^1 \to \tilde{v}^1$.
Since

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |\tilde{v}_n^1|^2\,dx \geq \frac{d}{2}$$

we get

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |\tilde{v}^1|^2\,dx \geq \frac{d}{2}$$

that is $\tilde{v}^1 \neq 0$. From the fact that $v_n^1 \rightharpoonup 0$ in H, we deduce that (z_n) is unbounded, so we may assume that $|z_n| \to \infty$. Now, we set $\tilde{u}_n(x) = u_n(x + z_n) \rightharpoonup w_\lambda \neq 0$. As in Step 1, we can see that $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(\tilde{u}_n), \varphi\rangle - \langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(w_\lambda), \varphi\rangle \to 0$, for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. On the other hand, being $|z_n| \to \infty$, we have for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(\tilde{u}_n), \varphi\rangle = \langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n), \varphi(\cdot - z_n)\rangle \to 0,$$

so we can deduce that $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(w_\lambda), \varphi\rangle = 0$, for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Step 4 If there exists $m \geq 1$, $(y_n^k) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $w_\lambda^k \in H$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that
(i) $|y_n^k| \to \infty$, $|y_n^k - y_n^h| \to \infty$ if $k \neq h$,
(ii) $u_n(\cdot + y_n^k) \rightharpoonup w_\lambda^k \neq 0$ in H, for any $1 \leq k \leq m$,
(iii) $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(w_\lambda^k) = 0$ for any $1 \leq k \leq m$,
then one of the following conclusions must hold:
(1) If $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(z)} |u_n - u_0 - \sum_{k=1}^m w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k)|^2\,dx \to 0$, then

$$\|u_n - u_0 - \sum_{k=1}^m w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k)\| \to 0.$$

(2) If there exists $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(z_n)} |u_n - u_0 - \sum_{k=1}^m w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k)|^2\,dx \to d > 0,$$

then up to a subsequence, the following conditions hold
(i) $|z_n| \to \infty$, $|z_n - y_n^k| \to \infty$ for any $1 \leq k \leq m$,
(ii) $u_n(\cdot + z_n) \rightharpoonup w_\lambda^{m+1} \neq 0$ in H,
(iii) $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(w_\lambda^{m+1}) = 0$.

Assume that (1) holds. Set $\xi_n = u_n - u_0 - \sum_{k=1}^m w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k)$. Then, by using Lemma 2.1 we can see that

$$\xi_n \to 0 \ \text{ in } \ L^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for all } t \in (2, 2_s^*). \tag{3.29}$$

By using the definition of ξ_n and the fact that $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda), \xi_n\rangle = 0 = \langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(w_\lambda^k), \xi_n\rangle$, we can infer

$$\|\xi_n\|^2 = \langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n), \xi_n\rangle + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(u_n) - f(u_\lambda))\xi_n\,dx - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(w_\lambda^k)\xi_n(\cdot + y_n^k)\,dx.$$

In view of (3.16) and (3.29), we deduce that

$$\|\xi_n\|^2 = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2_s^*-2}u_n - |u_\lambda|^{2_s^*-2}u_\lambda)\xi_n\,dx - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\lambda^k|^{2_s^*-2}w_\lambda^k\xi_n(\cdot + y_n^k)\,dx + o(1).$$

Proceeding as in (3.17), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi_n\|^2 &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u_\lambda|^{2_s^*-2}(u_n - u_\lambda)\xi_n\,dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\lambda^1|^{2_s^*-2}w_\lambda^1\xi_n(\cdot + y_n^1)\,dx \\
&\quad - \lambda \sum_{k=2}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\lambda^k|^{2_s^*-2}w_\lambda^k\xi_n(\cdot + y_n^k)\,dx + o(1) \\
&= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) - u_\lambda(\cdot + y_n^1)|^{2_s^*-2}(u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) - u_\lambda(\cdot + y_n^1))\xi_n(\cdot + y_n^1)\,dx \\
&\quad - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\lambda^1|^{2_s^*-2}w_\lambda^1\xi_n(\cdot + y_n^1)\,dx - \lambda \sum_{k=2}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\lambda^k|^{2_s^*-2}w_\lambda^k\xi_n(\cdot + y_n^k)\,dx + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|y_n^1| \to \infty$, and $u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) \rightharpoonup w_\lambda^1$ in H, we deduce that $u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) - u_\lambda(\cdot + y_n^1) \rightharpoonup w_\lambda^1$ in H. As a consequence we have

$$\|\xi_n\|^2 = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) - u_\lambda(\cdot + y_n^1) - w_\lambda^1|^{2_s^*-2}(u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) - u_\lambda(\cdot + y_n^1) - w_\lambda^1)\xi_n(\cdot + y_n^1)\,dx$$
$$- \lambda \sum_{k=2}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\lambda^k|^{2_s^*-2} w_\lambda^k \xi_n(\cdot + y_n^k)\,dx + o(1).$$

Iterating this procedure, we obtain that

$$\|\xi_n\|^2 = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi_n|^{2_s^*}\,dx + o(1). \tag{3.30}$$

Now, since $u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) - u_\lambda(\cdot + y_n^1) \rightharpoonup w_\lambda^1$ in H, we can argue as in Step 2 to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u_n - u_\lambda\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_n - u_\lambda)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u_\lambda|^{2_s^*}\,dx + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) - u_\lambda(\cdot + y_n^1) - w_\lambda^1\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) - u_\lambda(\cdot + y_n^1) - w_\lambda^1)\,dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(\cdot + y_n^1) - u_\lambda(\cdot + y_n^1) - w_\lambda^1|^{2_s^*}\,dx + \mathcal{I}_\lambda(w_\lambda^1) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we obtain that

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(w_\lambda^k) = \frac{1}{2}\|\xi_n\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\xi_n)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi_n|^{2_s^*}\,dx + o(1), \tag{3.31}$$

which together with (3.29), yields

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(w_\lambda^k) = \frac{1}{2}\|\xi_n\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi_n|^{2_s^*}\,dx + o(1). \tag{3.32}$$

Then, taking into account (3.30) and (3.32), we can argue as in Step 2 to infer that

$$\|u_n - u_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k)\| = \|\xi_n\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Now, we assume that (2) holds. The proof of this is standard, so we skip the details here.

Step 5 Conclusion.

By using the Step 1, we can see that Lemma 3.3 (i) holds. If the assumption of Step 2 is verified, then Lemma 3.3 holds with $k = 0$. Otherwise, the assumption of Step 3 holds. We set $(y_n^1) = (z_n)$ and $w_\lambda^1 = w_\lambda$. Now, if (1) of Step 4 holds with $m = 1$, from (3.32), we obtain the conclusion of Lemma 3.3. If not, (2) of Step 4 must hold, and by setting $(y_n^2) = (z_n)$, $w_\lambda^2 = w_\lambda^2$, we iterate Step 4. Then, to conclude the proof, we have to show that (1) of Step 4 must occur after a finite number of iterations. Let us note that, for all $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\|u_n\|^2 - \|u_\lambda\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \|w_\lambda^k\|^2 \right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| u_n - u_\lambda - \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k) \right\|^2 \geq 0.$$

In fact, by using $(i),(ii)$ of Step 4 and $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ in H, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|u_n - u_\lambda - \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k)\Big\|^2 &= \|u_n\|^2 + \|u_\lambda\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{m}\|w_\lambda^k\|^2 - 2\langle u_n, u_\lambda\rangle - 2\sum_{k=1}^{m}\langle u_n, w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k)\rangle \\ &\quad + 2\sum_{k=1}^{m}\langle u_\lambda, w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k)\rangle + 2\sum_{h,k}\langle w_\lambda^h(\cdot - y_n^h), w_\lambda^k(\cdot - y_n^k)\rangle \\ &= \|u_n\|^2 - \|u_\lambda\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{m}\|w_\lambda^k\|^2 + o(1) \qquad (3.33)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Remark 3.2 we know that $\|w_\lambda^k\| \geq \beta$ for some $\beta > 0$ independent of λ. Thus, by using (3.33) and the fact that (u_n) is bounded in H, we deduce that (1) in Step 4 must occur after a finite number of iterations. This together with (3.32), allow us to infer that Lemma 3.3 holds.

□

Before giving the proof of the main result of this section, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, for almost every $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ has a positive critical point.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, for almost every $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, there exists a bounded sequence $(u_n) \subset H$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda, \quad \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda) \to 0. \qquad (3.34)$$

Moreover, $c_\lambda \in (0, \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}})$. Then, up to a subsequence, we may suppose that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ in H. If $u_\lambda \neq 0$, then we have finished. Otherwise, we may suppose that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in H. Now, we aim to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{B_1(y)}|u_n|^2\,dx \geq \delta > 0. \qquad (3.35)$$

If (3.35) does not occur, by Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$u_n \to 0 \text{ in } L^t(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall t \in (2, 2_s^*). \qquad (3.36)$$

By using (3.16) and (3.36), we obtain that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_n)\,dx = o(1)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_n)u_n\,dx = o(1)$. This and (3.34) yield

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{2_s^*}dx = c_\lambda + o(1) \qquad (3.37)$$

and

$$\|u_n\|^2 - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{2_s^*}dx = o(1). \qquad (3.38)$$

Since $c_\lambda > 0$, we may assume that $\|u_n\|^2 \to L$, for some $L > 0$. By using the Sobolev embedding, we can see that $L \geq \frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}$.

This together with (3.37) and (3.38), imply $c_\lambda \geq \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}$, which is a contradiction. Then, (3.35) holds, and we can find $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $|y_n| \to \infty$ and $\int_{B_1(y_n)}|u_n|^2\,dx \geq \frac{\delta}{2} > 0$.
Set $v_n = u_n(\cdot + y_n)$. By using (3.34), we can see that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(v_n) \to c_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(v_n) \to 0$. In view of (3.35), we can deduce that $v_n \rightharpoonup v_\lambda \neq 0$ in H and $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(v_\lambda) = 0$. By using Remark 3.1, we can deduce that $v_\lambda \geq 0$. Then $v_\lambda > 0$. In fact, if there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $v_\lambda(x_0) = 0$, we deduce that

$$(-\Delta)^s v_\lambda(x_0) = (-\Delta)^s v_\lambda(x_0) + V v_\lambda(x_0) = \lambda f(v_\lambda(x_0)) = 0.$$

By using the representation formula for the fractional Laplacian [17], we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v_\lambda(x_0+y)+v_\lambda(x_0-y)}{|x_0-y|^{N+2s}}\,dy = 0$$

which gives $v_\lambda = 0$, that is a contradiction. □

Now, we are ready to prove the existence of positive ground state to (1.1) in the case V constant.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By using Lemma 3.4, for almost every $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, there exists $(u_n) \subset H$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}\right)$, $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$ and $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda \neq 0$ in H.
In view of Lemma 3.3, we can see that

$$c_\lambda = \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(w_\lambda^j),$$

$\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$ and $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(w_\lambda^j) = 0$ for $1 \le j \le k$. Moreover, $u_\lambda > 0$. By Lemma 3.2, we deduce that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) > 0$ and $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(w_\lambda^j) \ge 0$ for $1 \le j \le k$, so we have $c_\lambda \ge \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) > 0$. Thus, there exists $(\lambda_n) \subset [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ such that $\lambda_n \to 1$, $u_{\lambda_n} \in H$, $u_{\lambda_n} > 0$, $\mathcal{I}'_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0$, $c_{\lambda_n} \ge \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) > 0$ and $c_{\lambda_n} \in (0, \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}})$. By using the fact that $\mathcal{I}'_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0$ and Lemma 3.2, we deduce that

$$c_{\lambda_n} \ge \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = \frac{s}{N}[u_{\lambda_n}]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} > 0.$$

Moreover, in view of the Sobolev embedding, we have $|u_{\lambda_n}|_{2_s^*} \le C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Putting together $(f1)$-$(f3)$ and Lemma 3.2, we can see that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{N-2s}{2N}[u_n]^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V u_n^2\,dx = \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_{\lambda_n})\,dx \le \varepsilon |u_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 + C_\varepsilon |u_{\lambda_n}|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*}.$$

which implies that

$$\frac{V}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2\,dx \le \varepsilon |u_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 + C_\varepsilon C.$$

Therefore, choosing $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{V}{2})$, we can deduce that (u_{λ_n}) is bounded in H. Now, we can assume that there exists $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n})$. Since the map $\lambda \mapsto c_\lambda$ is continuous from the left (see Theorem 1.2), we can see that

$$0 \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) \le c_1 < \frac{s}{N} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}.$$

Then, by using the fact that

$$\mathcal{I}(u_{\lambda_n}) = \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) + (\lambda_n - 1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_{\lambda_n})\,dx$$

and $\|u_{\lambda_n}\| < \infty$, we can infer that

$$0 \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{I}(u_{\lambda_n}) \le c_1 < \frac{s}{N} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} \tag{3.39}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{I}'(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0. \tag{3.40}$$

In view of Remark 3.2, there exists $\beta > 0$ independent of λ_n such that $\|u_{\lambda_n}\| \ge \beta$. Moreover, we know that (u_{λ_n}) is bounded in H, so we can use similar arguments to the proof of Lemma 3.4 to deduce the existence of a positive solution u_0 to (1.1).
By using Lemma 3.3, we can also see that

$$\mathcal{I}(u_0) \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{I}(u_{\lambda_n}) \le c_1 < \frac{s}{N} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}.$$

Let us define

$$m = \inf\{\mathcal{I}(u) : u \in H, u \neq 0, \mathcal{I}'(u) = 0\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{I}'(u_0) = 0$, we get $m \leq \mathcal{I}(u_0) < \frac{s}{N} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}$, and by using Lemma 3.2, we deduce that $0 \leq m < \frac{s}{N} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}$. From the definition of m, we can find $(u_n) \subset H$ such that $\mathcal{I}(u_n) \to m$ and $\mathcal{I}'(u_n) = 0$. Taking into account Remark 3.2, we deduce that $\|u_n\| \geq \beta > 0$ for some β independent of n. Moreover, it is easy to see that (u_n) is bounded in H.
In virtue of Remark 3.1, we may assume that $u_n \geq 0$ in H. Then, taking in mind that $\|u_n\| \geq \beta > 0$, we can proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, to show that there exists $(v_n) \subset H$ such that $v_n \geq 0$ in H, $v_n \rightharpoonup v_0 > 0$ in H, $\mathcal{I}(v_n) \to m$ and $\mathcal{I}'(v_n) = 0$. By using Lemma 3.3, we can infer that $\mathcal{I}(v_0) \leq m$ and $\mathcal{I}'(v_0) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{I}'(v_0) = 0$, we can also deduce that $\mathcal{I}(v_0) \geq m$. Then, we have proved that $v_0 > 0$ is such that $\mathcal{I}(v_0) = m$ and $\mathcal{I}'(v_0) = 0$.

□

4. Ground state solution when V is not constant

In this last section we provide the proof of the existence of ground state to (1.1) under the assumptions that V is a non constant potential. For this reason, we will assume that $V(x) \not\equiv V_\infty$.
For $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, we introduce the following family of functionals defined for $u \in H$

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty(u) = \frac{1}{2}([u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty u^2\, dx) - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)\, dx. \tag{4.1}$$

Similarly to [6], we can prove the following result:

Lemma 4.1. *For $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, if $w_\lambda \in H$ is a nontrivial critical point of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty$, then there exists $\gamma_\lambda \in C([0,1], H)$ such that $\gamma_\lambda(0) = 0$, $\mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty(\gamma_\lambda(1)) < 0$, $w_\lambda \in \gamma_\lambda([0,1])$, $0 \notin \gamma_\lambda((0,1])$ and $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty(\gamma_\lambda(t)) = \mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\gamma_\lambda(t)(x) = \begin{cases} w_\lambda(\frac{x}{t}) & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we can see

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_\lambda(t)\|^2 &= t^{N-2s}[w_\lambda]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + t^N V_\infty |w_\lambda|_2^2 \\ \mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty(\gamma_\lambda(t)) &= \frac{t^{N-2s}}{2}[w_\lambda]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{t^N}{2} V_\infty |w_\lambda|_2^2 - t^N \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(w_\lambda)\, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Hence $\gamma_\lambda \in C([0,\infty), H)$.
By using Lemma 3.2, we know that

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(w_\lambda)\, dx = \frac{N-2s}{2N}[w_\lambda]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{1}{2} V_\infty |w_\lambda|_2^2, \tag{4.3}$$

which together with (4.2) and (4.3), yields

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty(\gamma_\lambda(t)) = \left(\frac{t^{N-2s}}{2} - t^N \frac{N-2s}{2}\right)[w_\lambda]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Then, after a suitable change of scale, we can obtain the desired path.

□

Remark 4.1. *From Theorem 1.1, we know that if $(f1)$-$(f4)$ hold, then for $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty$ has a ground state.*

Lemma 4.2. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.3, we have that for almost every $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ has a positive critical point.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, we may assume that for almost every $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, there exists $(u_n) \subset H$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ in H, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda \in (0, \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}})$ and $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$.
Now, our claim is to prove that $u_\lambda \neq 0$. We argue by contradiction, and we suppose that $u_\lambda = 0$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.4, there exists $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $|y_n| \to \infty$ and $v_n = u_n(\cdot + y_n) \rightharpoonup v_\lambda \neq 0$ in H. Furthermore, by using the fact that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in H, we can see that $\mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda$ and $(\mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda)'(u_n) \to 0$ hold. Thus, $\mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(v_n) \to c_\lambda$ and $(\mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda)'(v_n) \to 0$.
Since $v_n \rightharpoonup v_\lambda \neq 0$ in H, it holds $(\mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda)'(v_n) = 0$. In view of Lemma 3.3 we get $c_\lambda \geq \mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(v_\lambda)$. From Remark 4.1 follows that $\mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda$ has a ground state w_λ. Thus, $c_\lambda \geq \mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(w_\lambda)$. By Lemma 4.1, we can find a path $\gamma_\lambda \in C([0,1], H)$ such that $\gamma_\lambda(0) = 0$, $\mathcal{I}^\infty_{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma_\lambda(1)) < 0$, $w_\lambda \in \gamma_\lambda([0,1])$, $0 \notin \gamma_\lambda((0,1])$ and $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(\gamma_\lambda(t)) = \mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(w_\lambda)$.
Therefore we obtain

$$c_\lambda \geq \mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(w_\lambda) = \max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(\gamma_\lambda(t)). \tag{4.4}$$

Taking into account $(V3)$, $V \not\equiv V_\infty$ and $0 \notin \gamma_\lambda((0,1])$, we can see that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(\gamma_\lambda(t)) < \mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(\gamma_\lambda(t))$ for all $t \in (0,1]$. Now, we take $v_1 = 0$ and $v_2 = \gamma_\lambda(1)$ in Theorem 1.2. Then, by using the definition of c_λ and (4.4) we get

$$c_\lambda \leq \max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(\gamma_\lambda(t)) < \max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{I}^\infty_\lambda(\gamma_\lambda(t)) \leq c_\lambda, \tag{4.5}$$

which gives a contradiction. As a consequence $u_\lambda \neq 0$ and by the maximum principle we can deduce that $u_\lambda > 0$.

□

At this point we establish the following lemma which will be fundamental to prove Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $(V1)$-$(V3)$ and $(f1)$-$(f5)$ are satisfied. For $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, let $(u_n) \subset H$ be a bounded sequence in H such that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$.*
Then there exists a subsequence of (u_n), which we denote again by (u_n) such that
(i) $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in H and $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$,
(ii) $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq c_\lambda$.

Proof. Since (u_n) is bounded in H, up to a subsequence, we may suppose that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ in H. Then, proceeding as in the proof of Step 1 in Lemma 3.3, and by using $(V3)$, we can see that $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$, that is (i) is satisfied.
Set $w^1_n = u_n - u_\lambda$. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.3, we can deduce that

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\|w^1_n\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(w^1_n)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2^*_s}|w^1_n|^{2^*_s}_{2^*_s} + o(1). \tag{4.6}$$

At this point, we aim to prove that for any $\varphi \in H$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (g(u_n) - g(u_\lambda) - g(w^1_n))\varphi\,dx \right| = o(1)\|\varphi\|. \tag{4.7}$$

In fact, by $(f5)$ and the mean value theorem, it holds

$$|g(u_n) - g(w^1_n)| \leq C[1 + (|w^1_n| + |u_\lambda| + |v^1_n|)^{2^*_s - 2}]|u_\lambda|.$$

Fixed $R>0$, by using the Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} |g(u_n)-g(w_n^1)||\varphi|\,dx \\
&\leq C\left(\int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} |u_\lambda|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\varphi\| + C\left(\int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} |u_\lambda|^{2_s^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{N+2s}{2N}}\|\varphi\| \\
&+ C\left(\int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} |w_n^1|^{2_s^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{2s}{N}}\left(\int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} |u_\lambda|^{2_s^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*}}\|\varphi\|
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} |g(u_\lambda)\varphi|\,dx &\leq C\int_{|x|\geq R} |u_\lambda||\varphi|\,dx + C\int_{|x|\geq R} |u_\lambda|^{2_s^*-1}|\varphi|\,dx \\
&\leq C\left(\int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} |u_\lambda|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\varphi\| + C\left(\int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} |u_\lambda|^{2^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{2_s^*-1}{2_s^*}}\|\varphi\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Combining (4.8) with (4.9), we deduce that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $R>0$ such that

$$\left|\int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} (g(u_n)-g(u_\lambda)-g(w_n^1))\varphi\,dx\right| \leq \varepsilon\|\varphi\|. \tag{4.10}$$

Let us note that

$$\int_{|x|\leq R} |g(u_n)-g(u_\lambda)||\varphi|\,dx \leq \left(\int_{|x|\leq R} |g(u_n)-g(u_\lambda)|^{\frac{2_s^*}{2_s^*-1}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{2_s^*-1}{2_s^*}}\left(\int_{|x|\leq R} |\varphi|^{2_s^*}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*}}.$$

Recalling that $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{g(t)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2_s^*-1}}}{|t|^{2_s^*}}=0$ and (u_n) is bounded in $L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can apply Lemma 2.3 to infer that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{|x|\leq R} |g(u_n)|^{\frac{2_s^*}{2_s^*-1}}\,dx = \int_{|x|\leq R} |g(u_\lambda)|^{\frac{2_s^*}{2_s^*-1}}\,dx.$$

By using the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_{\{|x|\leq R\}} |g(u_n)-g(u_\lambda)||\varphi|\,dx = o(1)\|\varphi\| \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\int_{\{|x|\leq R\}} |g(w_n^1)||\varphi|\,dx = o(1)\|\varphi\|. \tag{4.12}$$

Hence, (4.10)-(4.12) show that (4.7) is verified. Now, for $\lambda\in[\frac{1}{2},1]$, let us introduce the following functionals on H

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*}\,dx, \\
H_\lambda^\infty(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 + V_\infty u^2)\,dx - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*}\,dx, \\
J_\lambda^\infty(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 + V_\infty u^2)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_s^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*}\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, (4.6) becomes

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) = H_\lambda(w_n^1) + o(1). \tag{4.13}$$

By using (3.17) and (4.7) we deduce that, for any $\varphi \in H$,

$$|\langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n) - \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda), \varphi\rangle - \langle H'_\lambda(w_n^1), \varphi\rangle| = o(1)\|\varphi\|, \tag{4.14}$$

which gives

$$H'_\lambda(w_n^1) = o(1). \tag{4.15}$$

Taking into account (4.13), (4.15), $(V3)$ and the fact that $w_n^1 \rightharpoonup 0$ in H, we get

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) = H_\lambda^\infty(w_n^1) + o(1) \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$(H_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^1) = o(1). \tag{4.17}$$

Now we distinguish two cases.
(1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |w_n^1|^2\,dx = 0$.
By using Lemma 2.1 we have

$$w_n^1 \to 0 \text{ in } L^t(\mathbb{R}^N), \forall t \in (2, 2_s^*). \tag{4.18}$$

Putting together (3.16) and (4.16)-(4.18), we can see that

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) = J_\lambda^\infty(w_n^1) + o(1) \text{ and } (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^1) = o(1)$$

which gives

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{\lambda s}{N}|w_n^1|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} + o(1)$$

and then $c_\lambda \geq \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda)$.
(2) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |w_n^1|^2\,dx \geq \delta_1$ for some $\delta_1 > 0$.
Thus, there exists $y_n^1 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $|y_n^1| \to \infty$ such that $\int_{B_1(y_n^1)} |w_n^1|^2\,dx \geq \frac{\delta_1}{2}$. As a consequence, we can see that $w_n^1(\cdot + y_n^1) \rightharpoonup w_\lambda^1 \neq 0$ in H,

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) = H_\lambda^\infty(w_n^1(\cdot + y_n^1)) + o(1) \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$(H_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^1(\cdot + y_n^1)) = o(1). \tag{4.20}$$

By (4.20) we have $(H_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^1) = 0$. Now, if $c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) < \frac{s}{N}\frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}$, then we can proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.3 to obtain the thesis.
Otherwise, we set $w_n^2 = w_n^1(\cdot + y_n^1) - w_\lambda^1$, and repeating the same arguments of (4.13) and (4.15), we can see

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) - H_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda^1) + o(1) = H_\lambda^\infty(w_n^2) \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$(H_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^2) = o(1). \tag{4.22}$$

Then, as before, the following cases can occur

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |w_n^1|^2\,dx = 0 \tag{4.23}$$

or

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |w_n^1|^2\,dx \geq \delta_2 > 0. \tag{4.24}$$

Let us suppose that (4.23) is true. Then, by case (1) we deduce that $c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) - H_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda^1) \geq 0$, and by Lemma 3.2 we get $H_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda^1) \geq 0$. This two facts give $c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) \geq 0$.

Now, we can suppose that (4.24) holds. Repeating this procedure, we can find $w_n^i \in H$, $y_n^i \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $|y_n^i| \to \infty$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w_n^i(\cdot + y_n^i) \rightharpoonup w_\lambda^i \neq 0$ in H, $(H_\lambda^\infty)'(w_\lambda^i) = 0$,

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) - \sum_{i=1}^{j} H_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda^i) + o(1) = H_\lambda^\infty(w_n^{j+1}) \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$(H_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^{j+1}) = o(1), \tag{4.26}$$

where

$$w_n^{j+1} = w_n^j(\cdot + y_n^j) - w_\lambda^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $(H_\lambda^\infty)'(w_\lambda^i) = 0$, we can use Lemma 3.2 to see that

$$H_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda^i) = \frac{s}{N}[w_\lambda^i]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{4.27}$$

Now we show that there exists $\alpha > 0$ independent of i such that

$$[w_\lambda^i]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \alpha. \tag{4.28}$$

In fact, by using $(H_\lambda^\infty)'(w_\lambda^i) = 0$, $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, and $(f1)$-$(f3)$, we can see that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 + V_\infty |w_\lambda^i|^2)\, dx &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\lambda^i|^2\, dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\lambda^i|^{2_s^*}\, dx \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{V_\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty |w_\lambda^i|^2\, dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\lambda^i|^{2_s^*}\, dx.\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon \in (0, V_\infty)$, we can infer that

$$[w_\lambda^i]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C |w_\lambda^i|^{2_s^*}_{2_s^*},$$

which together with the Sobolev inequality, gives (4.28).
Then, putting together (4.27) and (4.28), at some $j = k$, we obtain that

$$c_\lambda - \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) - \sum_{i=1}^{j} H_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda^i) < \frac{s}{N} \frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}.$$

The conclusion follows by Lemma 3.3.

□

We end this section giving the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Taking into account Lemma 4.2, for almost every $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ there exists $(u_n) \subset H$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda \in (0, \frac{s}{N} \frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}})$, $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$, $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda \neq 0$ in H.
By using Lemma 4.3, we deuce that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq c_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$. Hence, we can find a sequence $\lambda_n \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ such that $\lambda_n \to 1$, $c_{\lambda_n} \in (0, \frac{s}{N} \frac{S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}})$, $u_{\lambda_n} \in H$ such that $\mathcal{I}'_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_{\lambda_n}) \leq c_{\lambda_n}$.
At this point, we show that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|u_{\lambda_n}\| \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.29}$$

Indeed, taking into account $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) \leq c_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathcal{I}'_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0$, from Lemma 3.2 and $(V4)$ we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}s[u_{\lambda_n}]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} &= \frac{N}{2}[u_{\lambda_n}]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{N}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_{\lambda_n}^2\, dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x \cdot \nabla V(x) u_{\lambda_n}^2\, dx - \lambda_n N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_{\lambda_n})\, dx \\ &= N\, \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x \cdot \nabla V(x) u_{\lambda_n}^2\, dx \leq N c_{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Putting together $\mathcal{I}'_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0$, $\lambda_n \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $(V4)$, $(f1)$-$(f3)$ and the Sobolev inequality, we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma|u_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_{\lambda_n}|^2 + V(x)u_{\lambda_n}^2)\,dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_{\lambda_n})u_{\lambda_n}\,dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon|u_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 + C_\varepsilon|u_{\lambda_n}|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} \\ &\leq \varepsilon|u_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 + C'_\varepsilon[u_{\lambda_n}]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2_s^*}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon \in (0, \sigma)$ and by using (4.30), we can see that (4.31) yields that $|u_{\lambda_n}|_2 \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of $(V1)$ and $(V3)$, we deduce that $0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u_{\lambda_n}^2\,dx \leq V_\infty|u_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 \leq V_\infty C^2$, which completes the proof of (4.29).
Now, we can note that

$$\mathcal{I}(u_{\lambda_n}) = \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) + (\lambda_n - 1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_{\lambda_n})\,dx,$$

so we can infer that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{I}(u_{\lambda_n}) \leq c_1 < \frac{s}{N}S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{I}'(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0.$$

In view of Remark 3.2, we know that there exists $\beta > 0$ independent of λ_n such that $\|u_{\lambda_n}\| \geq \beta$. Since $\|u_{\lambda_n}\| \leq C$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can proceed as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 to deduce that $u_{\lambda_n} \rightharpoonup u_0 \neq 0$ in H. Then, by using Lemma 4.3, we can see that

$$\mathcal{I}(u_0) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{I}(u_{\lambda_n}) \leq c_1 < \frac{s}{N}S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}'(u_0) = 0.$$

Let us define

$$m = \inf\{\mathcal{I}(u) : u \in H, u \neq 0, \mathcal{I}'(u) = 0\}.$$

Being $\mathcal{I}'(u_0) = 0$, it is clear that $m \leq \mathcal{I}(u_0) < \frac{s}{N}S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}$. Now, by using the definition of m, we can find $(v_n) \subset H$ such that $v_n \neq 0$, $\mathcal{I}(v_n) \to m$ and $\mathcal{I}'(v_n) = 0$. Arguing as in (4.30) and (4.31), we can see that (v_n) is bounded in H, and that there exists $\beta > 0$ independent of n such that $\|v_n\| \geq \beta$. This means that $m > -\infty$. Proceeding simply to the proof of Lemma 4.2, we can see that $v_n \rightharpoonup v_0 \neq 0$ in H. Then, by using Lemma 4.3, we can deduce that $\mathcal{I}'(v_0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{I}(v_0) \leq m$. Since $\mathcal{I}'(v_0) = 0$, we also have that $\mathcal{I}(u_0) \geq m$. Therefore, we have proved that $v_0 \neq 0$ is such that $\mathcal{I}(v_0) = m$ and $\mathcal{I}'(v_0) = 0$, that is v_0 is a ground state of (1.1). □

References

[1] C. O. Alves, J. M. do Ó, O. H. Miyagaki, *Concentration Phenomena for Fractional Elliptic Equations Involving Exponential Critical Growth*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **16** (2016), no. 4, 843–861.

[2] C. O. Alves, G. M. Figueiredo, G. Siciliano, *Ground state solutions for fractional scalar field equations under a general critical nonlinearity*, arXiv:1610.04649.

[3] C. O. Alves and M. A. S. Souto, *Existence of solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential vanishing at infinity*, J. Differential Equations **254** (2013), no. 4, 1977–1991.

[4] A. Ambrosetti and A. Malchiodi, *Perturbation methods and semilinear elliptic problems on* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Progress in Mathematics, 240. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006. xii+183 pp.

[5] V. Ambrosio, *Multiple solutions for a fractional p-Laplacian equation with sign-changing potential*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2016, Paper No. 151, 12 pp.

[6] V. Ambrosio, *Ground states for a fractional scalar field problem with critical growth*, to appear on Differential and Integral Equations.

[7] V. Ambrosio, *Multiple solutions for a nonlinear scalar field equation involving the fractional Laplacian*, available at arXiv:1603.09538.

[8] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo and U. Sánchez, *On some critical problems for the fractional Laplacian operator*, J. Differential Equation **252** (2012), 6133–6162.

[9] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 313–345.

[10] H. Brezis and E. H. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), no. 3, 486–490.

[11] X. Cabré and J. Tan, *Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 5, 2052–2093.

[12] L.A. Caffarelli and L.Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007),1245–1260.

[13] X. J. Chang and Z.Q. Wang, *Ground state of scalar field equations involving fractional Laplacian with general nonlinearity*, Nonlinearity **26**, 479–494 (2013).

[14] A. Cotsiolis and N. K. Tavoularis, *Best constants for Sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **295** (2004), no. 1, 225–236.

[15] J. Dávila, Juan, M. del Pino, S. Dipierro, and E. Valdinoci, *Concentration phenomena for the nonlocal Schrödinger equation with Dirichlet datum*, Anal. PDE **8** (2015), no. 5, 1165–1235.

[16] M. Del Pino and P. L. Felmer, *Local Mountain Pass for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **4** (1996), 121–137.

[17] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. math. **136** (2012), 521–573.

[18] S. Dipierro, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, *Existence and symmetry results for a Schrödinger type problem involving the fractional Laplacian*, Le Matematiche (Catania) **68**, 201–216 (2013).

[19] P. Felmer, A. Quaas and J. Tan, *Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **142** (2012), 1237–1262.

[20] G. M. Figueiredo and G. Siciliano, *A multiplicity result via Ljusternick-Schnirelmann category and Morse theory for a fractional Schrödinger equation in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **23** (2016), no. 2, Art. 12, 22 pp.

[21] A. Fiscella and E. Valdinoci, *A critical Kirchhoff type problem involving a nonlocal operator*, Nonlinear Anal. **94** (2014), 156–170.

[22] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, *Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrdinger equation with a bounded potential*, J. Funct. Anal. **69** (1986), 397–408.

[23] X. He and W. Zou, *Existence and concentration result for the fractional Schrödinger equations with critical nonlinearities*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **55** (2016), no. 4, Paper No. 91, 39 pp.

[24] J. Jeanjean, *On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer-type problem set on* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **129** (1999), no. 4, 787–809.

[25] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, *A positive solution for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **54** (2005), no. 2, 443–464.

[26] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lèvy path integrals*, Phys. Lett. A **268** (2000), 298–305.

[27] N. Laskin, *Fractional Schrödinger equation*, Phys. Rev. E **66** (2002), 056108.

[28] Z.S. Liu and S.J. Guo, *On ground state solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson equations with critical growth*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **412** (2014) 435–448.

[29] G. Molica Bisci and V. Rădulescu, *Ground state solutions of scalar field fractional Schrödinger equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 3, 2985–3008.

[30] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu and R. Servadei, *Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems*, with a foreword by Jean Mawhin. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 162. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. xvi+383 pp.

[31] P. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations* Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **43** (1992), no. 2, 270291.

[32] S. Secchi, *Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 031501.

[33] S. Secchi, *On fractional Schrödinger equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2016), no. 1, 19–41.

[34] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 1, 67–102.

[35] X. Shang and J. Zhang, *Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth*, Nonlinearity **27** (2014), no. 2, 187–207.

[36] X. Shang, J. Zhang and Y. Yang, *On fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), no. 12, 121502, 20 pp.

[37] J. Tan, *The Brezis-Nirenberg type problem involving the square root of the Laplacian*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **42** (2011), no. 1-2, 21–41.
[38] K. Teng and X. He, *Ground state solutions for fractional Schrdinger equations with critical Sobolev exponent* Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **15** (2016), no. 3, 991–1008.
[39] X. Wang, *On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrdinger equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **53** (1993), 229–244.
[40] M. Willem, *Minimax theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 24. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. x+162 pp.
[41] J. Zhang and W. Zou, *A Berestycki-Lions theorem revisited*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **14** (2012), no. 5, 1250033, 14 pp.
[42] J. Zhang and W. Zou, *The critical case for a Berestycki-Lions theorem*, Sci. China Math. **57** (2014), no. 3, 541–554.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R. Caccioppoli", Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, via Cinthia, 80126 Napoli, Italy
E-mail address: vincenzo.ambrosio2@unina.it

Faculdade de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Pará, 66075-110, Belém, PA, Brazil
E-mail address: giovany@ufpa.br